

# Thirdhand Smoke: State of the Science and a Call for Policy Expansion

THOMAS F. NORTHRUP, PhD<sup>a</sup>  
PEYTON JACOB III, PhD<sup>b,c</sup>  
NEAL L. BENOWITZ, MD<sup>d</sup>  
EUNHA HOH, PhD<sup>e</sup>  
PENELOPE J.E. QUINTANA, PhD,  
MPH<sup>e</sup>  
MELBOURNE F. HOVELL, PhD,  
MPH<sup>f</sup>  
GEORG E. MATT, PhD<sup>g</sup>  
ANGELA L. STOTTS, PhD<sup>a,h</sup>

Thirdhand smoke (THS) is the persistent residue generated from aged secondhand smoke (SHS) that adheres to indoor dust and surfaces and reemits into the air, which is of concern as a public health hazard.<sup>1-4</sup> Despite the recent emergence of THS research findings (compared with 40 years of SHS research),<sup>5</sup> available evidence supports making greater attempts to eliminate THS from public places and private residences/cars. We provide a brief overview of the current knowledge in this area and argue that THS should be considered in the development of smoke-free policies to reduce tobacco-related morbidity and mortality.<sup>6</sup>

## FORMATION OF THIRDHAND SMOKE

Overall smoking prevalence in the United States has fallen to 17.8% from a high of 42.4% in 1965; however, the downward trend has decelerated, and 23% to 42% of adults with low education or living in poverty still smoke.<sup>7</sup> As a result, 22% of infants and children are exposed to SHS/THS in their homes each year, comprising a major proportion of the 126 million nonsmokers exposed to harmful tobacco products annually.<sup>8</sup> SHS exposure has borne much of the blame for smoking-related harm experienced by nonsmokers; however, projections estimate that 5% to 60% of this SHS-related harm may be attributable to THS exposure.<sup>9</sup> This reattribution of harm is due in part to recent understanding of THS's chemical properties, including exposure pathways (e.g., hand-to-mouth and dermal exposure experienced by children), the long-term-exposure profile (e.g., from in utero to the time a child leaves the home, for children conceived

<sup>a</sup>The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (UTHealth) McGovern Medical School, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Houston, TX

<sup>b</sup>University of California San Francisco, Departments of Medicine and Psychiatry, San Francisco, CA

<sup>c</sup>San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, San Francisco, CA

<sup>d</sup>University of California San Francisco, Departments of Medicine and Bioengineering & Therapeutic Sciences, San Francisco, CA

<sup>e</sup>San Diego State University, Graduate School of Public Health, Division of Environmental Health, San Diego, CA

<sup>f</sup>San Diego State University, Graduate School of Public Health, Center for Behavioral Epidemiology and Community Health, San Diego, CA

<sup>g</sup>San Diego State University, Department of Psychology, San Diego, CA

<sup>h</sup>UTHealth McGovern Medical School, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Houston, TX

Address correspondence to: Thomas F. Northrup, PhD, UTHealth McGovern Medical School, Department of Family and Community Medicine, 6431 Fannin, JJJL 328, Houston, TX 77030; tel. 713-500-7590; fax 713-500-7598; e-mail <thomas.f.northrup@uth.tmc.edu>.

©2016 Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health

and living in smoking households), and remediation difficulties. Simply stated, THS exposure can take place during much longer time frames than SHS exposure, and THS components are difficult to remove from carpets, furniture, and surfaces, including walls, compared with SHS that is removed by ventilation.

Of special concern relative to THS is that nicotine and other post-combustion tobacco constituents can interact with other environmental chemicals to form new toxicants and carcinogens.<sup>10–14</sup> For example, carcinogenic tobacco-specific nitrosamines (TSNAs) can be formed when the common indoor pollutant, nitrous acid, comes into contact with nicotine.<sup>13</sup> One TSNA, called 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridinyl)-1-butanone, is a potent lung-specific carcinogen ubiquitous in tobacco smoke and smokers' homes.<sup>15</sup> Another TSNA, 4-(methylnitrosamino)-4-(3-pyridyl) butanal, is an additional genotoxic compound formed from nicotine in the environment and is often found in THS but rarely in SHS or mainstream tobacco smoke.<sup>16,17</sup> Once formed, it can take months to years for these compounds in THS to dissipate.<sup>18</sup> Particulate matter from THS is repeatedly resuspended,<sup>19</sup> and volatile and semi-volatile compounds (i.e., compounds that easily evaporate or sublimate from liquid or solid form to gaseous form) in THS residue are slowly reemitted into the gas phase (off-gassing).<sup>20</sup> Also, other carcinogenic and mutagenic compounds, called polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, are formed during the incomplete burning of tobacco, and smokers homes' have been shown to have higher concentrations of these compounds in settled house dust.<sup>10</sup>

### MEASUREMENT OF THS CONTAMINATION AND EXPOSURE

Residual nicotine accumulates after tobacco combustion and is a convenient proxy for other semi-volatile constituents that comprise THS. Various methods have been used to detect and characterize THS, including measuring nicotine found in dust, nicotine adsorbed to myriad indoor surfaces (e.g., walls and cabinets), and nicotine in air. Studies have found THS in cars, homes, and hotel rooms that ban indoor/in-car smoking,<sup>11,20–24</sup> and a recent investigation detected THS in a highly protected, smoke-free neonatal intensive care unit caring for medically fragile infants.<sup>25</sup> These data underscore THS's property to potentially off-gas or transfer from residue on smokers' hands, hair, clothes, and other objects (e.g., mobile telephones) and adsorb to new surfaces or environments (e.g., furniture).<sup>11,13,14</sup> Furthermore, research has demonstrated that nonsmoking adults who moved into

homes previously occupied by smokers or stayed overnight in smoking-designated hotel rooms, have elevations of finger nicotine, urine cotinine (i.e., nicotine's primary metabolite), and urine metabolites of nicotine-derived tobacco-specific carcinogens,<sup>11,23</sup> demonstrating human exposure likely to be caused by THS contamination.

Findings for children are more troubling, as even in smoking households with indoor smoking bans, children have 5–7 times more nicotine exposure than those from nonsmoking households.<sup>20</sup> THS constituents in indoor dust and on surfaces can be ingested, inhaled, and absorbed dermally,<sup>19,26</sup> making children especially vulnerable to THS (e.g., due to activity near the ground and hand-to-mouth behaviors).<sup>27</sup> This exposure to nicotine and TSNAs (particularly for toddlers who frequently mouth household materials) may be up to seven and 16 times greater in THS, respectively, compared with passive SHS exposure, suggesting that THS may play a substantial role in health problems attributed to SHS exposure. Indeed, the lifecycle of SHS compared with THS is brief.<sup>18</sup>

### THS REMEDIATION METHODS

Traditional cleaning methods may not adequately remove nicotine that adsorbs to indoor surfaces due in part to nicotine's ability to permeate all parts of enclosed environments, such as dust and air, porous building materials (e.g., sheetrock and drywall), doors, cabinets, curtains, furniture/upholstery, bedding/pillows/mattresses, clothing materials, and carpets.<sup>11,14,28–30</sup> Vacuuming and wiping may resuspend particles and fail to remove nicotine due to its ability to strongly adsorb to surfaces and penetrate materials.<sup>28</sup> For example, vacuuming and dashboard wiping were not associated with lower air-, surface-, or dust-nicotine levels in smokers' cars,<sup>28</sup> and THS was present weeks and months after smokers' homes were cleaned after smokers moved out.<sup>11</sup> This finding is not surprising, as 80% to 90% of combusted cigarette nicotine adsorbs to surfaces,<sup>31</sup> and nicotine may desorb or resuspend from non-cleaned surfaces and adsorb or redeposit elsewhere,<sup>28</sup> including previously cleaned surfaces. Carpets and sheetrock/drywall are especially challenging for these reasons. Aqueous remediation may be effective for removal of THS constituents from cotton, but less is known about other household/clothing materials.<sup>18</sup> We know of only one study (of tobacco harvesters at risk for "green tobacco sickness" [nausea, vomiting, headache, and dizziness] from dermal exposure) exploring handwashing for nicotine removal, and results showed incomplete hand-nicotine removal post handwashing.<sup>32</sup>

No research has explored the removal of nicotine from hands of smokers or those exposed to SHS/THS.

Research on outside smoking practices to reduce the amount of THS that enters homes and buildings is needed. For example, how far from open windows and doors and heating/air conditioning units should smokers stand to eliminate SHS and THS entry to a building? Also, a smoker can exhale particulate matter for up to 90 seconds after a final puff,<sup>33</sup> and, for up to 10 minutes after finishing a cigarette, the breath and clothing of smokers have higher concentrations of benzene (a carcinogenic solvent), toluene (a neurotoxic solvent), 2,5-dimethylfuran (a neurotoxic and cytotoxic substance [i.e., it adversely affects lung cilia in respiration]), and other toxic chemicals that then emit to the indoor air.<sup>34</sup> An outdoor, post-cigarette waiting period of 10 minutes before entering a building may reduce these forms of indoor air pollution.

### THS-RELATED HARM AND PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

Children are the most susceptible to THS-related harm,<sup>14,26,35</sup> and as many as 3 million children younger than 6 years of age are estimated to be exposed to SHS/THS  $\geq 4$  days a week.<sup>36</sup> Exposed children tend to have more cough and sputum-related symptoms than non-exposed children;<sup>1</sup> however, the level of risk attributable to THS (vs. SHS) is unknown. In any indoor environment where people habitually smoke, nonsmokers will be exposed to SHS/THS, with exposure profiles ranging from chronic low-dosage to short-term high-dosage exposure.<sup>9</sup> Finally, it is possible that early-life exposure to nicotine may increase the risk of smoking initiation later in life. Research is needed to fully understand the risks of THS exposure.

Recent in-vitro assay and animal-model investigations have explored mechanisms of THS-related harm, and these mechanisms include DNA damage,<sup>16</sup> altered fibroblast migration involved in wound healing,<sup>37</sup> and impaired respiratory development in unborn, premature rat fetuses.<sup>38</sup> TSNAs have also been linked to pancreatic cancer in experimental models with rodents and human pancreatic duct assays.<sup>39</sup> Animals exposed to THS have shown increased lung collagen production, upregulated inflammatory cytokines, and down-regulated anti-inflammatory cytokine activity, which are findings often seen in respiratory disease processes (e.g., asthma).<sup>40</sup> Similar to SHS exposure,<sup>41</sup> THS exposure may contribute to epithelial cell apoptosis and microbiome alteration.

### FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

THS exposure may contribute acutely and/or chronically to poorer health outcomes across many populations. The decades-long public health-led legislative effort to reduce and eliminate nonsmokers' exposure to SHS has achieved measurable improvements to human health,<sup>5,42</sup> such as reduced risks for preterm births.<sup>43</sup> However, the pervasive nature of THS poses a challenge to the same underlying problem of SHS exposure: unwanted, unsafe exposure to tobacco-related contamination.

No safe level of SHS exposure<sup>8</sup> exists, and definitive THS thresholds for harm have not been established. Specifically, acute and chronic human exposure levels and associated health risks are difficult to quantify, due to the comingling of SHS and THS, the myriad substances comprising THS, and the difficulty in isolating the unique contributions of THS exposure to long-term health outcomes. These methodological challenges make it difficult to establish public standards for "safe" levels of THS exposure. The limit of detection for surface nicotine is defined as the lowest quantity of a substance distinguishable from the absence of the substance (e.g., 0.1 micrograms per square meter [ $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2$ ] for surface nicotine).<sup>22,44</sup> The designation of environments as smoke-free/THS-contaminated should be made empirically<sup>45</sup> based on the importance and expense of correct-and-false identification. For example, in cars, a value of  $\geq 0.14 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2$  (surface nicotine) correctly classified 82% of smokers' cars that did not have smoking bans, and 100% of nonsmokers' cars were below this level.<sup>44</sup> Until we have further information, we suggest using these thresholds (or lower thresholds in protected medical settings) as a starting point to guide further policy, research on health risks, and remediation efforts. This guidance is similar to advice from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for individuals with asthma to avoid THS-contaminated environments.<sup>46</sup>

The data we cited raise a host of issues, including the difficulty of quantifying nonsmokers' cumulative THS exposure. Similar to quantifying traditional cigarette usage (e.g., years of smoking), a measure to quickly and accurately determine a person's lifelong exposure to THS would be meaningful clinically and in research. This measure could incorporate estimates related to growing up in a smoke-free or smoking household, whether in-home/in-car smoking occurred, frequency of working in environments where smoking was permitted, and other potential exposures to THS. Future work is needed to understand the potential for exposure and health consequences for those who

come in contact with THS. Further, the rising use of electronic nicotine delivery systems (e.g., e-cigarettes that heat up a nicotine solution and flavoring agents to be inhaled as vapor), which are widely perceived to be “safer” alternatives to traditional cigarettes among cigarette users,<sup>47–49</sup> are very likely to contribute new sources of THS, nicotine, and other chemical contaminants. These devices are still relatively new, with recent rises in prevalence,<sup>50,51</sup> and data on their contributions to THS are lacking. Nicotine emitted through e-cigarette use (i.e., thirdhand nicotine) is likely to age and interact with other pollutants in a similar fashion to nicotine from traditional cigarettes. Attitudes toward regulating e-cigarettes similarly to traditional cigarettes are mixed, and a survey of adult smokers found that support for restricting their indoor use may be as low as 41%.<sup>52</sup> Some devices have been shown to contain other contaminants in e-cartridges,<sup>53</sup> and allowing e-cigarettes to be used indoors undermines the social norm of not smoking, thereby contributing to public health concerns.<sup>54,55</sup>

## CONCLUSIONS

We believe all individuals, especially children, have the universal, human right to live in an environment free of nicotine- and tobacco-derived carcinogenic/toxicant matter, pursuant to the United Nations’ Article 25 of The Universal Declaration of Human Rights.<sup>56</sup> Given what is known (e.g., toxicity in THS from animal/in-vitro studies), we call attention to the “precautionary principle” of risk management<sup>57–60</sup> and “extended producer responsibility.”<sup>61</sup> The precautionary principle, which is a “strategy to cope with possible risks where scientific understanding is yet incomplete,” is widely used in Europe<sup>62</sup> and in radiation protection in the United States.<sup>63</sup> Extended producer responsibility promotes total-lifecycle environmental improvements, placing economic, physical, and informational responsibilities onto the tobacco industry. A strong case can be made for plausible risk of harm due to THS. By extension of the precautionary principle and the extended-producer-responsibility principle,<sup>61</sup> the burden of proof falls on the tobacco industry to demonstrate that THS is not harmful to individuals and groups for both acute and cumulative exposures. This approach is especially important because of the relatively long persistence of THS in indoor environments and limited effective means of cleaning.

We encourage the scientific community to support greater efforts to eradicate all forms of tobacco exposure, through further research and policy development targeting THS reduction. Efforts to further

reduce SHS/THS exposure may ultimately reduce tobacco-related diseases<sup>1,64</sup> and preserve the health of nonsmoking adults and children.<sup>55</sup>

This work was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (R01 HL107404, A.L. Stotts; R01 HL103684-02, M.F. Hovell) and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (P30 DA012393, Reese T. Jones) at the U.S. National Institutes of Health and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. This work was also supported by the California Consortium on Thirdhand Smoke, California Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program (20PT-0184; N.L. Benowitz and P. Jacob), and the California Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program for “Certifying Smoke-Free Used Cars: Effects on Value and Consumer Behavior” (1RT-0142, G.E. Matt).

## REFERENCES

1. Jung JW, Ju YS, Kang HR. Association between parental smoking behavior and children’s respiratory morbidity: 5-year study in an urban city of South Korea. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2012;47:338-45.
2. Petrick LM, Svidovsky A, Dubowski Y. Thirdhand smoke: heterogeneous oxidation of nicotine and secondary aerosol formation in the indoor environment. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2011;45:328-33.
3. Singer BC, Hodgson AT, Nazaroff WW. Gas-phase organics in environmental tobacco smoke: 2. Exposure-relevant emission factors and indirect exposures from habitual smoking. *Atmos Environ* 2003;37:5551-61.
4. Vaughan WM, Hammond SK. Impact of “designated smoking area” policy on nicotine vapor and particle concentrations in a modern office building. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 1990;40:1012-7.
5. Harris JK, Luke DA, Zuckerman RB, Shelton SC. Forty years of secondhand smoke research: the gap between discovery and delivery. *Am J Prev Med* 2009;36:538-48.
6. Office of the Surgeon General (US). The health consequences of smoking—50 years of progress: a report of the Surgeon General. Rockville (MD): Department of Health and Human Services (US), U.S. Public Health Service; 2014.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US). Current cigarette smoking among adults in the United States [cited 2015 Sep 8]. Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data\\_statistics/fact\\_sheets/adult\\_data/cig\\_smoking/index.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/adult_data/cig_smoking/index.htm)
8. Office of the Surgeon General (US). The health consequences of involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta: Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2006.
9. Sleiman M, Logue JM, Luo W, Pankow JF, Gundel LA, Destailhats H. Inhalable constituents of thirdhand tobacco smoke: chemical characterization and health impact considerations. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;48:13093-101
10. Hoh E, Hunt RN, Quintana PJ, Zakarian JM, Chatfield DA, Wittry BC, et al. Environmental tobacco smoke as a source of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in settled household dust. *Environ Sci Technol* 2012;46:4174-83.
11. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Zakarian JM, Fortmann AL, Chatfield DA, Hoh E, et al. When smokers move out and non-smokers move in: residential thirdhand smoke pollution and exposure. *Tob Control* 2011;20:e1.
12. Schick SF, Farraro KF, Perrino C, Sleiman M, van de Vossenberg G, Trinh MP, et al. Thirdhand cigarette smoke in an experimental chamber: evidence of surface deposition of nicotine, nitrosamines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and de novo formation of NNK. *Tob Control* 2014;23:152-9.
13. Sleiman M, Gundel LA, Pankow JF, Jacob P 3rd, Singer BC, Destailhats H. Formation of carcinogens indoors by surface-mediated reactions of nicotine with nitrous acid, leading to potential thirdhand smoke hazards. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107:6576-81.
14. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Destailhats H, Gundel LA, Sleiman M,



- Singer BC, et al. Thirdhand tobacco smoke: emerging evidence and arguments for a multidisciplinary research agenda. *Environ Health Perspect* 2011;119:1218-26.
15. Thomas JL, Hecht SS, Luo X, Ming X, Ahluwalia JS, Carmella SG. Thirdhand tobacco smoke: a tobacco-specific lung carcinogen on surfaces in smokers' homes. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2013;16:26-32.
  16. Hang B, Sarker AH, Havel C, Saha S, Hazra TK, Schick S, et al. Thirdhand smoke causes DNA damage in human cells. *Mutagenesis* 2013;28:381-91.
  17. Whitehead TP, Havel C, Metayer C, Benowitz NL, Jacob P III. Tobacco alkaloids and tobacco-specific nitrosamines in dust from homes of smokeless tobacco users, active smokers, and nontobacco users. *Chem Res Toxicol* 2015;28:1007-14.
  18. Bahl V, Jacob P III, Havel C, Schick SF, Talbot P. Thirdhand cigarette smoke: factors affecting exposure and remediation. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e108258.
  19. Becquemini MH, Bertholon JF, Bentayeb M, Attoui M, Ledur D, Roy F, et al. Third-hand smoking: indoor measurements of concentration and sizes of cigarette smoke particles after resuspension. *Tob Control* 2010;19:347-8.
  20. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Hovell MF, Bernert JT, Song S, Novianti N, et al. Households contaminated by environmental tobacco smoke: sources of infant exposures. *Tob Control* 2004;13:29-37.
  21. Matt GE, Fortmann AL, Quintana PJ, Zakarian JM, Romero RA, Chatfield DA, et al. Towards smoke-free rental cars: an evaluation of voluntary smoking restrictions in California. *Tob Control* 2013;22:201-7.
  22. Quintana PJ, Matt GE, Chatfield D, Zakarian JM, Fortmann AL, Hoh E. Wipe sampling for nicotine as a marker of thirdhand tobacco smoke contamination on surfaces in homes, cars, and hotels. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2013;15:1555-63.
  23. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Fortmann AL, Zakarian JM, Galaviz VE, Chatfield DA, et al. Thirdhand smoke and exposure in California hotels: non-smoking rooms fail to protect non-smoking hotel guests from tobacco smoke exposure. *Tob Control* 2014;23:264-72.
  24. Northrup TF, Matt GE, Hovell MF, Khan AM, Stotts AL. Thirdhand smoke in the homes of medically fragile children: assessing the impact of indoor smoking levels and smoking bans. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2015 (epub ahead of print).
  25. Northrup TF, Kahn AM, Jacob P, Benowitz NL, Hoh E, Hovell MF, et al. Thirdhand smoke contamination in hospital settings: assessing exposure risk for vulnerable pediatric patients. *Tob Control*. In press, 2016.
  26. Ferrante G, Simoni M, Cibella F, Ferrara F, Liotta G, Malizia V, et al. Third-hand smoke exposure and health hazards in children. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 2013;79:38-43.
  27. Moya J, Bearer CF, Etzel RA. Children's behavior and physiology and how it affects exposure to environmental contaminants. *Pediatrics* 2004;113:996-1006.
  28. Fortmann AL, Romero RA, Sklar M, Pham V, Zakarian J, Quintana PJ, et al. Residual tobacco smoke in used cars: futile efforts and persistent pollutants. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2010;12:1029-36.
  29. Dreyfuss JH. Thirdhand smoke identified as potent, enduring carcinogen. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2010;60:203-4.
  30. Schick SF. Thirdhand smoke: here to stay [published erratum appears in *Tob Control* 2013;22:428]. *Tob Control* 2011;20:1-3.
  31. Daisy JM, Mahanama KR, Hodgson AT. Toxic volatile organic compounds in simulated environmental tobacco smoke: emission factors for exposure assessment. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 1998;8:313-34.
  32. Curwin BD, Hein MJ, Sanderson WT, Nishioka MG, Buhler W. Nicotine exposure and decontamination on tobacco harvesters' hands. *Ann Occup Hyg* 2005;49:407-13.
  33. Invernizzi G, Ruprecht A, De Marco C, Paredi P, Boffi R. Residual tobacco smoke: measurement of its washout time in the lung and of its contribution to environmental tobacco smoke. *Tob Control* 2007;16:29-33.
  34. Ueta I, Saito Y, Teraoka K, Miura T, Jinno K. Determination of volatile organic compounds for a systematic evaluation of third-hand smoking. *Analy Sci* 2010;26:569-74.
  35. Barnoya J, Navas-Acien A. Protecting the world from secondhand tobacco smoke exposure: where do we stand and where do we go from here? *Nicotine Tob Res* 2013;15:789-804.
  36. Department of Health and Human Services (US). Secondhand smoke: what it means to you. Washington: HHS, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2006.
  37. Prins JM, Wang Y. Quantitative proteomic analysis revealed N'-nitrosornicotine-induced down-regulation of nonmuscle myosin II and reduced cell migration in cultured human skin fibroblast cells. *J Proteome Res* 2013;12:1282-8.
  38. Rehan VK, Sakurai R, Torday JS. Thirdhand smoke: a new dimension to the effects of cigarette smoke on the developing lung. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2011;301:L1-8.
  39. Edderkaoui M, Thrower E. Smoking and pancreatic disease. *J Cancer Ther* 2013;4:34-40.
  40. Martins-Green M, Adhami N, Frankos M, Valdez M, Goodwin B, Lyubovitsky J, et al. Cigarette smoke toxins deposited on surfaces: implications for human health. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e86391.
  41. Keely S, Talley NJ, Hansbro PM. Pulmonary-intestinal cross-talk in mucosal inflammatory disease. *Mucosal Immunol* 2012;5:7-18.
  42. Callinan JE, Clarke A, Doherty K, Kelleher C. Legislative smoking bans for reducing secondhand smoke exposure, smoking prevalence and tobacco consumption. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;(4):CD005992.
  43. Cox B, Martens E, Nemery B, Vangronsveld J, Nawrot TS. Impact of a stepwise introduction of smoke-free legislation on the rate of preterm births: analysis of routinely collected birth data. *BMJ* 2013;346:f441.
  44. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Hovell MF, Chatfield D, Ma DS, Romero R, et al. Residual tobacco smoke pollution in used cars for sale: air, dust, and surfaces. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2008;10:1467-75.
  45. Murphy JM, Berwick DM, Weinstein MC, Borus JF, Budman SH, Klerman GL. Performance of screening and diagnostic tests: application of receiver operating characteristic analysis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1987;44:550-5.
  46. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US). You can control your asthma [cited 2015 Sep 8]. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/features/asthmaawareness/index.html>
  47. Pearson JL, Richardson A, Niaura RS, Vallone DM, Abrams DB. e-Cigarette awareness, use, and harm perceptions in US adults. *Am J Public Health* 2012;102:1758-66.
  48. Goniewicz ML, Lingas EO, Hajek P. Patterns of electronic cigarette use and user beliefs about their safety and benefits: an Internet survey. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2013;32:133-40.
  49. Baeza-Loya S, Viswanath H, Carter A, Molfese DL, Velasquez KM, Baldwin PR, et al. Perceptions about e-cigarette safety may lead to e-smoking during pregnancy. *Bull Menninger Clin* 2014;78:243-52.
  50. Ramo DE, Young-Wolff KC, Prochaska JJ. Prevalence and correlates of electronic-cigarette use in young adults: findings from three studies over five years. *Addict Behav* 2015;41:142-7.
  51. Rigotti NA, Harrington KF, Richter K, Fellows JL, Sherman SE, Grossman E, et al. Increasing prevalence of electronic cigarette use among smokers hospitalized in 5 US cities, 2010-2013. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2015;17:236-44.
  52. Wackowski OA, Delnevo CD. Smokers' attitudes and support for e-cigarette policies and regulation in the USA. *Tob Control* 2015;24:543-6.
  53. Bhatnagar A, Whitsel LP, Ribisl KM, Bullen C, Chaloupka F, Piano MR, et al. Electronic cigarettes: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2014;130:1418-36.
  54. Fairchild AL, Bayer R, Colgrove J. The renormalization of smoking? E-cigarettes and the tobacco "endgame". *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:293-5.
  55. Hovell MF, Hughes SC. The behavioral ecology of secondhand smoke exposure: a pathway to complete tobacco control. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2009;11:1254-64.
  56. United Nations. The universal declaration of human rights [cited 2015 Sep 8]. Available from: <http://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr>
  57. Goldstein BD. Applying the precautionary principle to the environment. *Bull World Health Organ* 2000;78:1159-60.
  58. Kriebel D, Tickner J, Epstein P, Lemons J, Levins R, Loechler EL, et al. The precautionary principle in environmental science. *Environ Health Perspect* 2001;109:871-6.
  59. Foster KR, Vecchia P, Repacholi MH. Science and the precautionary principle. *Science* 2000;288:979-81.
  60. Grandjean P. Implications of the precautionary principle for primary prevention and research. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2004;25:199-223.

61. Curtis C, Collins S, Cunningham S, Stigler P, Novotny TE. Extended producer responsibility and product stewardship for tobacco product waste. *Int J Waste Resour* 2014;4:pii.
62. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). The precautionary principle [cited 2015 Jun 19]. Available from: <http://www.precautionaryprinciple.eu>
63. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (US). ALARA [cited 2015 Jun 19]. <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/basic-ref/glossary/alara.html>
64. Annesi-Maesano I, Lundbäck B, Viegi G. *Respiratory epidemiology*. Sheffield (United Kingdom): European Respiratory Society; 2014.